UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE)) MDL No. 1456
LITIGATION) CIVIL ACTION: 01-CV-12257 PBS
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO Master File No. 01-CV-12257-PBS)) Judge Patti B. Saris)
) Chief Mag. Judge Marianne B. Bowler)

STATUS REPORT SEPTEMBER 1, 2009

Pursuant to the Court's June 17, 2004 Procedural Order, the undersigned counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants hereby submit the attached status report to the Court listing the status of all pending motions to date.

DATED: September 1, 2009

Respectfully Submitted,

PLAINTIFFS' LEAD COUNSEL ON BEHALF OF ALL PLAINTIFFS

By /s/ Thomas M. Sobol

Thomas M. Sobol, Esq. (BBO# 471770) Edward Notargiacomo, Esq. (BBO# 567636)

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

55 Cambridge Parkway, Suite 301

Cambridge, MA 02142 Telephone: (617) 482-3700 Facsimile: (617) 482-3003

LIAISON COUNSEL

Steve W. Berman, Esq. Sean R. Matt, Esq.

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900

Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 Elizabeth Fegan, Esq.

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

60 W. Randolph Street, Suite 200

Chicago, IL 60601

Telephone: (312) 762-9235 Facsimile: (312) 762-9286

Marc H. Edelson, Esq.

HOFFMAN & EDELSON

45 West Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901 Telephone: (215) 230-8043

Facsimile: (215) 230-8735

Kenneth A. Wexler, Esq.

WEXLER WALLACE LLP

One North LaSalle Street, Suite 2000

Chicago, IL 60602

Telephone: (312) 346-2222 Facsimile: (312) 346-0022

Eugene A. Spector, Esq.

Jeffrey L. Kodroff, Esq.

John A. Macoretta, Esq.

SPECTOR, ROSEMAN & KODROFF, P.C.

1818 Market Street, Suite 2500

Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 496-0300

Facsimile: (215) 496-6611

ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

By /s/ Katherine Schmeckpeper

Nicholas C. Theodorou, Esq. (BBO# 496730) Katherine B. Schmeckpeper, Esq. (BBO# 663200)

FOLEY HOAG, LLP

155 Seaport Boulevard

Boston, MA 02210

DATED: September 1, 2009

D. Scott Wise, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Michael Flynn, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Kimberley Harris, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*) **DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL**450 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017

Attorneys for Defendant AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I, Thomas M. Sobol, an attorney, caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Status Report** to be delivered to all counsel of record by electronic service pursuant to Paragraph 11 of the Case Management Order No. 2, by sending on September 1, 2009, a copy to LexisNexis File & Serve for posting and notification to all parties.

/s/ Thomas M. Sobol

Thomas M. Sobol, Esq.

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

55 Cambridge Parkway, Suite 301

Cambridge, MA 02142

Telephone: (617) 482-3700 Facsimile: (617) 482-3003

MDL 1456 STATUS CHART – GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
State of Nevada v. Dey, Inc., et al., Case No. 3:06-539	n/a	Removed to D. Nev.	 July 24, 2007 – Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4508] September 5, 2007 – Amended Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4709]
California ex rel. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. v. Abbott Labs., Inc. et al.	03-CV- 11226- PBS	Removed to C.D. Cal.	 January 17, 2006 - Defendant ZLB Behring LLC's Individual Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint [Docket No. 2050]

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
			Declaration of Sarah L. Reid in Support of Dey Defendants' Opposition to the United States' Motion for an Order to Produce Michael T. Ricks for Rule 30(a)(2)(B) Deposition and California's Cross-Motion and in Support of Day's Cross-Motion for a Protective Order [Docket No. 5670]
California <i>ex rel</i> . Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. v. Abbott Labs., Inc. et al.			 November 6, 2008 – Dey Defendants' Cross Motion for a Protective Order (re: Michael T. Ricks Deposition) [Docket. No. 5668] November 6, 2008 – Dey Defendants' Opposition to the United States' Motion for an Order to Produce Michael T. Ricks for Rule 30(a)(2)(B) Deposition and California's Cross Motion in Support of Dey's Cross-Motion for a Protective Order [Docket. No. 5669], Declaration of Sarah L. Reid in Support of Dey Defendants' Opposition to the United States' Motion for an Order to Produce Michael T. Ricks for Rule 30(a)(2)(B) Deposition and California's Cross-Motion and in Support of Day's Cross-Motion for a Protective Order [Docket No. 5670]
			 March 26, 2009 – State of California's Motion for A Protective Order Regarding Defendants' Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Topics [Docket No. 5976] March 26, 2009 – State of California's Memorandum in Support of Its Motion for A Protective Order Relating to Certain Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Topics Propounded by Defendants [Docket No. 5977] April 9, 2009 – Defendants' Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Plaintiff State of California's Motion for a Protective Order Regarding Defendants' Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Topics [Docket No. 6000], Declaration of Philip D. Robben in Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff State of California's Motion for a Protective Order Regarding Defendants' Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Topics [Docket No. 6001] April 17, 2009 – State of California's Motion for Leave to File a Reply in Support of Its Motion for a Protective Order Regarding Defendants' Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Topics (reply attached) [Docket No. 6014]
			 August 21, 2009 – Defendant Sandoz Inc.'s Motion to Determine the Sufficiency of Plaintiff State of California's Responses to Sandoz Inc.'s First Set of Requests for Admission [Docket No. 6392] August 21, 2009 – Declaration of Joshua D. Weedman in Support of Sandoz Inc.'s Motion to Determine the Sufficiency of Plaintiff State of California's Responses to Sandoz Inc.'s First Set of Requests for Admission [Docket No. 6392-2]
			 August 21, 2009 – Defendants' Motion for an Order Granting Leave to Take Deposition Out of Time [Docket No. 6394] August 21, 2009 – Declaration of Brendan Cyr in Support of Defendants' Motion for an Order Granting Leave to Take Deposition Out of Time [Docket No. 6396]

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
U.S. ex rel. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, et al. v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:06-21303	06-CV- 11337	S.D. Fla.	 July 11, 2006 – Conditional Transfer Order (30) transferring Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc., et al. v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., et al., S.D. Fla., Case No. 1:06-21303, to D. Mass. July 26, 2006 – No Notice of Opposition filed January 24, 2007 – Plaintiffs' "Notice to the Court of Motion Fully Briefed" [Docket No. 3584] January 25, 2007 - Abbott Laboratories, Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiffs' "Notice to the Court of Motion Fully Briefed" [Docket No. 3591] July 24, 2007 – Unopposed Motion by the United States' for Leave to File Post-Hearing Notice of Supplemental Authority Relating to Abbott's Motion to Compel Adequate Responses to Abbott's Discovery Requests [Docket No. 4496] August 2, 2007 - Abbott Laboratories, Inc.'s Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Post-Hearing Notice of Supplemental Authority in Support of Abbott's Motion to Compel Adequate Response to Its Discovery Requests [Docket No. 4588] March 3, 2008 - Abbott Laboratories, Inc.'s Motion to Compel Testimony of Government Witnesses [Docket No. 5112] March 17, 2008 - Memorandum by the United States in Opposition to Abbott's Motion to Compel Testimony of Government Witnesses [Docket No. 5144] March 21, 2008 - Abbott Laboratories, Inc.'s Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Reply in Support of its Motion to Compel Testimony of Government Witnesses [Docket No. 5151] December 2, 2008 - Supplemental Filing of Abbott Laboratories in Support of Its Motion to Compel Testimony of Government Witnesses [Docket No. 5740] March 31, 2008 - Abbott Laboratories, Inc.'s Motion to Compel Production of Documents from the United States [Docket No. 5173] April 25, 2008 - Memorandum by the United States in Opposition to Abbott's Motion to Compel the Production of Documents [Docket No. 5254]

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, et al. v. Abbott, Inc. et al. (continued)			 November 11, 2008 – Defendants' Abbott Laboratories, Inc., Dey, Inc., and the Roxane Defendants' Joint Motion for Appointment of a Special Master [Docket No. 5675] November 11, 2008 – Defendants' Joint Memorandum in Support of Their Motion for Appointment of a Special Master [Docket No. 5676] December 8, 2008 – Memorandum by the United States Relating to the In Camera Submission of Documents [Docket No. 5750] December 31, 2008 – Defendants' Joint Memorandum in Support of Defendants' Motion to Compel Relief on Outstanding Deliberative Process Privilege Issues and in Response to the Memorandum of the United States Relating to the In Camera Submission of Documents [Docket No. 5813] January 15, 2009 – Memorandum by the United States in Response to Defendants' Joint Motion to Compel Relief on Deliberative Process Privilege Issues [Docket No. 5847] January 29, 2009 – Defendants' Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Joint Reply Memorandum in Support of Their Motion to Compel Relief on Outstanding Deliberative Process Privilege Issues [Docket No. 5867] June 4, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories, Inc.'s Motion for a Finding of Spoliation and for Sanctions [Docket No. 6096] June 4, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories, Inc.'s Memorandum in Support of Its Motion for a Finding of Spoliation and for Sanctions [Docket No. 6097] July 20, 2009 – United States' Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motions for a Finding of Spoliation and for Sanctions [Docket No. 6270] July 21, 2009 – Motion by the United States for Leave to Exceed Page Limit [Docket No. 6271]

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, et al. v. Abbott, Inc. et al. (continued)			 June 26, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories, Inc.'s Motion In Limine to Exclude Certain Opinions Proffered by Plaintiffs' Expert Mark G. Duggan, Ph.D. [Docket No. 6175] June 26, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Memorandum in Support of Its Motion In Limine to Exclude Certain Opinions Proffered by Plaintiffs' Expert Mark G. Duggan, Ph.D. [Docket No. 6187], Declaration of David S. Torborg [Docket No. 6188], Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts Supporting Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Motion In Limine to Exclude Certain Opinions Proffered by Plaintiffs' Expert Mark G. Duggan, Ph.D. [Docket No. 6189] August 28, 2009 – Reply Statement of Undisputed Material Facts Supporting Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Motion In Limine to Exclude Certain Opinions Proffered by Plaintiffs' Expert Mark G. Duggan, Ph.D. [Docket No. 6415] June 26, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories, Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6185] June 26, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Memorandum in Support of Its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6188]. Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts Supporting Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6317], United States' Common Memorandum of Law in Support of Cross-Motions for Partial Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6320] August 28, 2009 – Reply Statement of Undispu

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, et al. v. Abbott, Inc. et al. (continued)			 June 26, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject-Matter Jurisdiction Under the Public Disclosure Bar [Docket No. 6179] June 26, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories, Inc.'s Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject-Matter Jurisdiction Under the Public Disclosure Bar [Docket No. 6183] June 30, 2009 – Declaration of Brian J. Murray (in Support of Motion) [Docket No. 6216] July 1, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories, Inc.'s Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File Objections to the Special Master's Order on In Camera Review of Documents [Docket No. 6329] July 24, 2009 – Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Opposition to Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Partial Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6318]

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, et al. v. Abbott, Inc. et al. (continued)			56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts Common to All Defendants [Docket No. 6439] Defendants Abbott Laboratories, Inc., Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., Dey L.P., Inc., and Boehringer Ingelheim Roxane, Inc. and Roxane Laboratories, Inc.'s Combined Local 56.1 Statement of Additional Material Facts Pertinent to the United States' Motions for Partial Summary Judgment Against Defendants [Docket No. 6447], Declaration of David S. Torborg [Docket No. 6449] • August 28, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Memorandum of in Opposition to the United States' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, and Reply in Support of Abbott's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6440], Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Rule 56.1 Statement of Additional Facts that Preclude Summary Judgment in Favor of the Government [Docket No. 6440] • August 29, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Response to the Amended Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of United States' Memorandum of Law in Support of Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and in Opposition to Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6455], Declaration of Brian J. Murray [Docket No. 6456] • August 5, 2009 – Joint Motion to Establish Page Limits for the Remainder of the Parties' Summary Judgment Briefing [Docket No. 6350] • August 21, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject-Matter Jurisdiction Under the False Claims Act's Public Disclosure Bar [Docket No. 6398] • August 21, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject-Matter Jurisdiction Under the False Claims Act's Public Disclosure Bar [Docket No. 6416] • August 28, 2009 – Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6416] • August 28, 2009 – Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6417], Declaration of Ian Dew in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6423], Plaintiff's Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts [Docket No. 6423], Plain

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, et al. v. Dey, Inc., et al., Case No. 05-11084	06-11337	S.D. Fla.	 November 11, 2008 – Defendants' Abbott Laboratories, Inc., Dey, Inc., and the Roxane Defendants' Joint Motion for Appointment of a Special Master [Docket No. 5675] November 11, 2008 – Defendants' Joint Memorandum in Support of Their Motion for Appointment of a Special Master [Docket No. 5676] January 29, 2009 – Defendants' Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Joint Reply Memorandum in Support of Their Motion to Compel Relief on Outstanding Deliberative Process Privilege Issues [Docket No. 5867] December 1, 2008 – Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., Inc. and Dey, L.P.'s Motion to Compel the Production of Documents [Docket. No. 5725] December 1, 2008 – Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., Inc. and Dey, L.P.'s Memorandum of Law in Support of Its Motion to Compel the Production of Documents [Docket. No. 5726], Declaration of Clifford Katz in Support of Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion to Compel the Production of Documents [Docket No. 5727] January 9, 2009 – Delaware Health and Social Services' Opposition to Motion to Compel [Docket No. 5845] January 15, 2009 – Georgia Department of Community Health's Second Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Dey's Motion to Compel the Production of Documents [Docket No. 5842]; Memorandum of Law in Support of Georgia Department of Compel the Production of Documents [Docket No. 5843] March 20, 2009 - Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey, L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Leave to File a Reply Memorandum of Law in Further Support of Their Motion to Compel the Production of Documents [Docket No. 5969] December 2, 2008 – Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, I.P., and Dey, L.P., Inc.'s Motion to Compel Luis Cobo and Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. to Produce Documents [Docket No. 5730]

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, et al. v. Dey, Inc., et al., Case No. 05-11084 (continued)			Luis Cobo and Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. to Produce Documents [Docket No. 5831] January 29, 2009 – Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Leave to File a Reply Memorandum of Law in Further Support of Their Motion to Compel Luis Cobo and Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. to Produce Documents [Docket No. 5865] December 15, 2008 – Dey Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Documents Responsive to Subpoena [Docket. No. 5776] December 15, 2008 – Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion to Compel Documents Responsive to Subpoena [Docket. No. 5777]. Declaration of Damon W. Suden [Docket No. 5778] December 31, 2008 – United States' Memorandum in Opposition to Dey's Motion to Compel Production of Documents Responsive to Subpoena [Docket No. 5808] January 16, 2009 – Oklahoma Health Care Authority's Objection to Dey Defendants' Motion to Compel Production of Documents Responsive to Subpoena [Docket No. 5830 & 5852] January 16, 2009 – Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Leave to File a Reply in Further Support of Motion to Compel Production of Documents Responsive to Subpoena [Docket No. 5851] February 17, 2009 – Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Response to United States' Request for Expedited Ruling on Dey's Motion to Extend the Production Deadline for the Limited Purpose of Receiving Medicaid Claims Data, and for an Order Precluding the Parties from Reviewing or Considering Late-Produced Medicaid Claims Data [Docket No. 5903] June 12, 2009 – Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for a Finding of Spoliation and for Sanctions [Docket. No. 6109] June 12, 2009 – Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc. Motion for a Finding of Spoliation and for Sanctions [Docket No. 6110], Declaration of Sarah L. Reid in Support of Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc. Dey, I.P., and De

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, et al. v. Dey, Inc., et al., Case No. 05-11084 (continued)	DOCKET		 June 22, 2009 – Stipulation of Dismissal of Common Law Fraud Cause of Action [Docket No. 6163] June 26, 2009 – Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Leave to File Under Seal the Unredacted Declaration of W. David Bradford, Ph.D. and Supplemental Figures A-K to the Declaration of Lauren J. Stiroh in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6174] June 26, 2009 – Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6178] March 4, 2008 – Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Memorandum of Law in Support of Their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6194], Concise Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6190], Declaration of W. David Bradford, Ph.D. in Support of Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6180], Affidavit of Pamela R. Marrs in Support of Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6181], Declaration of Lauren J. Stiroh in Support of Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6182-3], Declaration of Sarah L. Reid in Support of Dey, L.P., Dey, Inc., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6182-3], Declaration of Sarah L. Reid in Support of Dey, L.P., Dey, Inc., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6204] June 29, 2009 – Supplemental Declaration of Lauren J. Stiroh in Support of Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6204] July 24, 2009 – United States' Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts Common to All Defendants [Docket No. 6316], United States' Common Memorandum of Law in Support of Cross-Motions for Partial Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Defendan

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
			Jurisdiction [Docket. No. 6209], Declaration of Sarah L. Reid in Support of Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss the Relator's Complaints for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction [Docket No. 6208] • July 24, 2009 – United States' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6295]
Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, et al. v. Dey, Inc., et al., Case No. 05-11084 (continued)			 July 24, 2009 – United States' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6295] July 24, 2009 – United States' Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts as to Dey [Docket No. 6296], Declaration of George B. Henderson, II Submitting Exhibits in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Docket No. 6298], United States' Consolidated Memorandum of Law in Support of Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Dey Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6303], Declaration of George B. Henderson, II Submitting Common Exhibits in Support of Motions for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6310], United States' Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts Common to All Defendants [Docket No. 6316], United States' Common Memorandum of Law in Support of Cross-Motions for Partial Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6317], Response of the United States of America to Dey Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6324] July 30, 2009 – Notice of Filing Amended Declaration, and Corrected or Substitute Exhibits, and Errata [Docket No. 6331] August 28, 2009 – Declaration of Sarah L. Reid in Support of Dey's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6426], Declaration of W. David Bradford, Ph.D. in Further Support of Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6426], Declaration of Lauren J. Stiroh in Further Support of Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6426-122], Declaration of Lauren J. Stiroh in Further Support of Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc., Sey, Inc., Dey, L.P., Dey L.P., Dey L.P., Inc., and Boehringer Ingelheim Roxane, Inc.'s Combined Response to the

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
			Torborg [Docket No. 6449] August 28, 2009 – Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Individual Local Rule 56.1 Statement in Opposition to the United States' Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts as to Dey [Docket No. 6432], Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Reply in Further Support of Their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Response to the United States' Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6445]
Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, et al. v. Dey, Inc., et al., Case No. 05-11084 (continued)			 August 5, 2009 – Joint Motion to Establish Page Limits for the Remainder of the Parties' Summary Judgment Briefing [Docket No. 6350]
(continued)			 August 19, 2009 – Defendants Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc.'s Motion for Leave to Participate in the Depositions of Carolyn Helton and Robin Kreush Stone to the Extent this Court Grants Roxane's Expedited Motion for Leave to Depose Carolyn Helton and Robin Kreush Stone [Docket No. 6384] August 31, 2009 – United States' Opposition to Dey Defendants Motion for Leave to Participate in the Depositions of Carolyn Helton and Robin Kreush Stone [Docket No. 6461]
			 August 28, 2009 – Partially Consented to Motion of Defendants Abbott Laboratories Inc., Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc. and Boehringer Ingelheim Roxane, Inc. for Leave to File Under Seal Certain Documents Containing Confidential Material [Docket No. 6420]
			 November 10, 2008 – Motion for Clarification of Order by Magistrate Judge dated October 29, 2008 [Docket No. 5672]
United States of America ex rel. Ven- a-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Corp., et al., Case No. 07-10248			 November 13, 2008 – United States' Motion for a Protective Order Regarding the Roxane Defendants' Rule 30(b)(6) Notice [Docket No. 5678] November 13, 2008 – United States' Memorandum in Support of Its Motion for a Protective Order Relating to Requests by the Roxane Defendant for Depositions Under Rule 30(b)(6) [Docket No. 5679] November 25, 2008 – Roxane's Opposition to the Government's Motion for a Protective Order Relating to Roxane's Rule 30(b)(6) Request [Docket No. 5712]
			 November 13, 2008 – Roxane Defendants' Opposition to United States' Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint [Docket No. 5680] December 2, 2008 – Reply Brief in Support of United States' Motion for Leave to File Amended Complaint [Docket No. 5732]

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
United States of America ex rel. Ven-a-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Corp., et al., Case No. 07-10248 (continued)	DOCKET		November 20, 2008 – Boehringer Ingelheim Roxane, Inc.'s Motion to Compel Production of Documents and Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Kansas Health Policy Authority and Memorandum of Law in Support Thereof [Docket No. 5697] November 20, 2008 – John W. Reale Affidavit in Support of Motion to Compel Production of Documents and Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Kansas Health Policy Authority and Memorandum of Law in Support Thereof [Docket No. 5698] February 13, 2009 – Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Testimony [Docket. No. 5898] February 13, 2009 – Memorandum in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Testimony [Docket No. 5899] March 6, 2009 – Roxane Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Testimony [Docket No. 5945] March 17, 2009 – Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Reply [Docket No. 5955] June 22, 2009 – Stipulation of Dismissal of Common Law Fraud Cause of Action [Docket No. 6164] June 26, 2009 – Boehringer Ingelheim Corporation's and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6191] June 26, 2009 – Defendants Boehringer Ingelheim Corporation's and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc.'s Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6193] Thermaceuticals Inc.'s Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6193] June 29, 2009 – Corrected Boehringer Ingelheim Corporation and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc. Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6194] June 29, 2009 – Corrected Boehringer Ingelheim Corporation and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc. Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6194]
			Law in Support of Cross-Motions for Partial Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6317], United States' Response to the Roxane Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6294] • August 28, 2009 – The Roxane Defendants' Reply to the United States' Response to the Roxane Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
United States of America ex rel. Ven- a-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Corp., et al., Case No. 07-10248 (continued)			Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Statement of Additional Undisputed Facts in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6425] August 28, 2009 – United States' Opposition to Defendants Boehringer Ingelheim Corporation's and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceutical Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6410], Response to Corrected Boehringer Ingelheim Corporation and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceutical Inc. Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6411], Declaration of James J. Fauci Submitting Exhibits in Response to Corrected Boehringer Ingelheim Corporation and Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6412], Declaration of Patrick Ormond [Docket No. 6413-61] August 28, 2009 – Roxane's Response to the United States' Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts as to the Roxane Defendants [Docket No. 6424], Declaration of John W. Reale in Support of J. Roxane's Response to the United States' Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Facts as to the Roxane Defendants and 2) the Roxane Defendants' Reply to the United States' Response to the Roxane Defendants and 2) the Roxane Defendants' Reply to the United States' Response to the Roxane Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Statement of Additional Undisputed Facts in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6427] June 26, 2009 – The Roxane Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction Under the Public Disclosure Bar of the False Claims Act [Docket No. 6196] June 26, 2009 – The Roxane Defendants' Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction [Docket No. 6198] June 26, 2009 – The Roxane Defendants' Memorandum in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6201], Declaration of John W. Rea

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
			to the Roxane Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6294] O August 28, 2009 – The Roxane Defendants' Consolidated Memorandum of Law in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Government's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6431]
		 July 9, 2009 – The Roxane Defendants' Motion for A Finding of Spoliation and for Sanctions [Docket No. 6254] July 9, 2009 – The Roxane Defendants' Memorandum in Support of Their Motion for A Finding of Spoliation and for Sanctions [Docket No. 6255], Declaration of John W. Reale in Support of the Roxane Defendants' Motion for A Finding of Spoliation and for Sanctions [Docket No. 6256] August 20, 2009 – United States' Opposition to the Roxane Defendants' Motion for a Finding of Spoliation and for Sanctions [Docket No. 6388], Declaration of George B. Henderson, II Submitting Exhibits in Opposition to the Roxane Defendants' Motion for a Finding of Spoliation and for Sanctions [Docket No. 6389] 	
United States of America ex rel. Ven- a-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Corp., et al., Case No. 07-10248 (continued)			 July 24, 2009 – United States' Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6290] July 24, 2009 – United States' Consolidated Memorandum of Law in Support of Cross-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Roxane Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6291], United States' Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts as to the Roxane Defendants [Docket No. 6292], Declaration of James J. Fauci Submitting Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Roxane Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6306], Declaration of George B. Henderson, II Submitting Common Exhibits in Support of Motions for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6310], United States' Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts Common to All Defendants [Docket No. 6316], United States' Common Memorandum of Law in Support of Cross-Motions for Partial Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6317], United States' Response to the Roxane Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6294] July 30, 2009 – United States' Notice of Filing of a Corrected Exhibit 27 to the Declaration of James J. Fauci Submitting Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Roxane Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6334] August 28, 2009 – Combined Memorandum of Defendants Abbott Laboratories Inc., Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., Dey L.P., Inc., Boehringer Ingelheim Roxane, Inc. and Roxane Laboratories, Inc. in Opposition to the United States' Cross-Motions for Partial Summary

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
United States of America ex rel. Ven-			Judgment [Docket No. 6429], Declaration of Sarah L. Reid in Support of Defendants' Common Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6438], Defendants Abbott Laboratories, Inc., Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., Dey L.P., Inc., and Boehringer Ingelheim Roxane, Inc's Combined Response to the Untied States' Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts Common to All Defendants [Docket No. 6439], Defendants Abbott Laboratories, Inc., Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., Dey L.P., Inc., and Boehringer Ingelheim Roxane, Inc. and Roxane Laboratories, Inc.'s Combined Local 56.1 Statement of Additional Material Facts Pertinent to the United States' Motions for Partial Summary Judgment Against Defendants [Docket No. 6447], Declaration of David S. Torborg [Docket No. 6449] O August 28, 2009 – The Roxane Defendants' Consolidated Memorandum of Law in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Government's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6431]
a-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Corp., et al., Case No. 07-10248 (continued)			 July 29, 2009 – Roxane's Expedited Motion for Leave to Depose Carolyn Helton and Robin Kreush Stone [Docket No. 6328] July 29, 2009 - Roxane's Memorandum of Law in Support of Its Expedited Motion for Leave to Depose Carolyn Helton and Robin Kreush Stone [Docket No. 6329], Affidavit of Eric T. Gortner in Support of Roxane's Expedited Motion for Leave to Depose Carolyn Helton and Robin Kreush Stone [Docket No. 6330] August 8, 2009 – United States' Opposition to Roxane's Motion for Leave to Depose Carolyn Helton and Robin Kreush Stone [Docket No. 6355]
			 August 5, 2009 – Joint Motion to Establish Page Limits for the Remainder of the Parties' Summary Judgment Briefing [Docket No. 6350]
			 August 28, 2009 – Partially Consented to Motion of Defendants Abbott Laboratories Inc., Dey, Inc., Dey, L.P., and Dey L.P., Inc. and Boehringer Ingelheim Roxane, Inc. for Leave to File Under Seal Certain Documents Containing Confidential Material [Docket No. 6420]
U.S. ex rel. Ven-a-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., Case No. 07-11618			 August 28, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6437] August 28, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Memorandum in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6441], Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts Supporting Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6442]
Inc., Case No. 07-11618			 August 28, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Motion In Limine to Exclude Certain Opinions Proffered by Plaintiff's Expert Mark G. Duggan, Ph.D. [Docket No. 6443] August 28, 2009 – Abbott Laboratories Inc.'s Memorandum in Support of Its Motion In Limine to Exclude Opinions Proffered by Plaintiff's Expert Mark G. Duggan, Ph.D. [Docket No. 6444]

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
U.S. ex rel Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. v. Schering Corporation, Schering-Plough Corporation and Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation Civil Action No. 00-10698		S.D. Florida	 March 27, 2009 – Joint Motion to Extend Time to Respond to Relator's Amended Complaint [Docket No. 5978] June 26, 2009 – The Parties' Joint Motion for a Scheduling Conference [Docket No. 6173] July 21, 2009 – Motion for Leave to Amend [Docket No. 6273] July 22, 2009 – Consent to Amend Complaint [Docket No. 6286] July 22, 2009 – Commonwealth of Massachusetts' Motion to Intervene for the Limited Purpose of Objecting to the Ven-A-Care/Schering/Warrick Settlement Agreement [Docket No. 6275] July 22, 2009 – Commonwealth of Massachusetts' Memorandum in Support of Its Motion to Intervene for the Limited Purpose of Objecting to the Ven-A-Care/Schering/Warrick Settlement Agreement [Docket No. 6276] August 7, 2009 – Settling Parties' Joint Response to Motions to Intervene and to Otherwise Participate in Settlement Proceedings [Docket No. 6364] August 28, 2009 – Commonwealth of Massachusetts' Reply Memorandum in Support of Its Motion to Intervene for the Limited Purpose of Objecting to the Ven-A-Care/Schering/Warrick Settlement Agreement [Docket No. 6421]

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
U.S. ex rel Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. v. Schering Corporation, Schering-Plough Corporation and Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation (continued)			 August 7, 2009 – Joint Motion for Approval of the Settlement Between California, Florida, and Relator Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys on Behalf of Itself and the United States and Schering-Plough, Schering, and Warrick [Docket No. 6359] August 7, 2009 – Joint Memorandum in Support of Motion for Approval of the Settlement Between California, Florida, and Relator Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys on Behalf of Itself and the United States and Schering-Plough, Schering, and Warrick [Docket No. 6360], Affidavit of Sumanth Addanki [Docket No. 6361], Affidavit of Beth Trent, Esq. [Docket No. 6362], Declaration of T. Mark Jones, R.N. [Docket No. 6363-2] August 21, 2009 – Amicus Curiae of the States of Wisconsin, Alaska, Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, and Mississippi in Opposition to Entry of the Proposed Order Approving Settlement and Dismissal with Prejudice of Schering-Plough Corporation, Schering Corporation and Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation [Docket No. 6403] August 28, 2009 – United States' Memorandum in Support of Its Opposition to the Motion to Approve the Proposed Settlement Between Schering-Plough Corporation, Schering Corporation, Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation, California, Florida and Relator Ven-Acare of the Florida Keys [Docket No. 6414] August 28, 2009 – Commonwealth of Massachusetts' Opposition to Joint Motion for Approval of Settlement Between California, Florida, and Ven-A-Care and the Schering/Warrick Defendants [Docket No. 6434] August 30, 2009 – Commonwealth of Massachusetts' Opposition to Joint Motion for Approval of Settlement Between California, Florida, and Ven-A-Care and the Schering/Warring Defendants (corrected) [Docket No. 6457] August 28, 2009 – The City of New York and New York Counties' Response and Objection to the Motion to Approve the Proposed Settlement Between California, Florida and Relator Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys on Behalf of Itself and the United States and Schering-Plough, Schering
U.S. ex rel Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. v. Actavis Mid Atlantic LLC, et al.	06-11337		• July 30, 2009 – Joint Motion to Modify March 19, 2009 Case Management Order [Docket No. 6333]
Civil Action No. 08-10852			

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
State of Arizona ex rel. v. Abbott Labs., Case No. 2:06-45	n/a	D. Ariz.	 August 11, 2006 – Plaintiff State of Arizona's Motion for Remand [Docket No. 2974] August 25, 2006 – Memorandum in Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff State of Arizona's Motion for Remand [Docket No. 3019] October 24, 2006 – Order issued by Judge Patti B. Saris denying Motion to Remand to State Court [Docket No. 3265] October 25, 2006 – Electronic Notice from the Court to Reopen Document #2974 Motion to Remand to State Court November 9, 2006 – Defendant Dey, Inc.'s Supplemental Notice of Removal (leave to file granted on November 2, 2006) [Docket No. 3332] November 13, 2006 – Palaintiff State of Arizona's Response to Defendant Dey, Inc.'s Supplemental Notice of Removal [Docket No. 3355] June 13, 2008 – State of Arizona's Motion for Protective Order Limiting Scope of Discovery [Docket No. 5377] June 27, 2008 – Defendants' Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiff State of Arizona's Motion for a Protective Order Limiting Scope of Discovery [Docket No. 5410] September 19, 2008 – Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint [Docket No. 5598] September 19, 2008 – Memorandum in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint [Docket No. 5599] December 12, 2008 – The State of Arizona's Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [Docket No. 5768] January 15, 2009 – Defendants' AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP and Zeneca Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss the Plaintiff State of Arizona's First Amended Complaint [Docket No. 5602] September 19, 2008 – Defendants AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP and Zeneca Inc.'s Individual Memorandum of Law in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss the Plaintiff State of Arizona's First

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
State of Arizona ex rel. v. Abbott			Plaintiff State of Arizona's First Amended Complaint [Docket No. 5849]
Labs., Case No. 2:06-45 (continued)			• June 25, 2009 – Motion for Dismissal of Select Defendants with Prejudice [Docket No. 6172]
State of South Carolina et al. v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., Case No. 3:06-2482	n/a	D.S.C.	 September 25, 2006 – Conditional Transfer Order (32) transferring State of South Carolina v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., D. South Carolina, Case No. 3:06-2482, to D. Mass November 27, 2006 - Notice of opposition to proposed transfer filed July 24, 2007 – Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4508] July 30, 2007 – Objection of the States of Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, Mississippi, and South Carolina to the Court's July 26, 2007 Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4517] August 17, 2007 – Defendants' Reply to the States of Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina, Pennsylvania and Ohio and the Counties of Erie, Oswego and Schenectady's Submissions Responding to the Court's July 26, 2007 Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4631]
State of South Carolina, et al. v. Dey, LP, Case No. 3:06-2914	n/a	Removed to D.S.C.	 February 7, 2007 – Final Transfer Order (33) transferring State of South Carolina, et al. v. Dey, LP, D. South Carolina, Case No. 3:06-2914, to D. Mass. July 24, 2007 – Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4508] July 30, 2007 – Objection of the States of Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, Mississippi, and South Carolina to the Court's July 26, 2007 Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4517] August 17, 2007 – Defendants' Reply to the States of Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina, Pennsylvania and Ohio and the Counties of Erie, Oswego and Schenectady's Submissions Responding to the Court's July 26, 2007 Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4631]

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
State of South Carolina, et al. v. Dey, LP, Case No. 3:06-2925	n/a	Removed to D.S.C.	 February 7, 2007 – Final Transfer Order (33) transferring State of South Carolina, et al. v. Dey, LP, D. South Carolina, Case No. 3:06-2925, to D. Mass. July 24, 2007 – Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4508] July 30, 2007 – Objection of the States of Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, Mississippi, and South Carolina to Court's July 26, 2007 Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4517] August 17, 2007 – Defendants' Reply to the States of Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, Mississippi, South Carolina, Pennsylvania and Ohio and the Counties of Erie, Oswego and Schenectady's Submissions Responding to the Court's July 26, 2007 Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4631]
State of Iowa v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., et al., CA No. 07-461	07-cv- 12141- PBS	S.D. Iowa	 October 25, 2007 – Conditional Transfer Order (39) transferring State of Iowa v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., et al., Case No. 4:07-461, to D. Mass. July 15, 2009 – Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Quash Defendant Purepac Pharmaceutical Co.'s Cross-Notice of Continued Videotaped Deposition of Lynn Donovan [Docket No. 6264] July 16, 2009 – Opposition to Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Quash [Docket No. 6268]
United States of America ex rel. Linnette Sun & Greg Hamilton v. Baxter Hemoglobin Therapeutics, et al., CA No. 05-736	n/a	D. Colorado	 June 25, 2008 – Conditional Transfer Order (42) transferring United States of America ex rel. Linnette Sun & Greg Hamilton v. Baxter Hemoglobin Therapeutics, et al, D. Colorado, Case No. 1:05-736, to D. Mass

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
United States of America, et al. v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., et al., CA No. 95-1354	n/a	S.D. Florida	 February 13, 2009 – Conditional Transfer Order (43) transferring <i>United States of America, et al.</i> v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., et al., S.D. Florida, Case No. 1:95-1354, to D. Mass. March 2, 2009 - Notice of opposition to proposed transfer filed
United States of America, etc. v. Schering Corp., et al., CA No. 09- 10003	n/a	S.D. Florida	 February 13, 2009 – Conditional Transfer Order (43) transferring United States of America, etc. v. Schering Corp., et al., S.D. Florida, Case No. 4:09-10003, to D. Mass. March 2, 2009 - Notice of opposition to proposed transfer filed April 13, 2009 – Opposition of Schering Corporation, Schering-Plough Corporation, Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation to the United States' Motion to Vacate Conditional Transfer Order No. 43 April 17, 2009 – United States' Reply to the Opposition of Schering Corporation, Schering Plough Corporation and Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation to the Motion to Vacate Conditional Transfer Order 43
The County of Orange v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., et al., CA No. 07- 2777	n/a	S.D.N.Y.	 April 18, 2007 – Conditional Transfer Order (38) transferring The County of Orange v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:07-2777, to D. Mass. July 24, 2007 – Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4508] September 5, 2007 – Amended Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4709]
Consolidated County Case (Civil Action No. 01-CV-12257-PBS): City of New York (S.D.N.Y.) County of Albany (N.D.N.Y.) County of Allegany (W.D.N.Y.) County of Broome (N.D.N.Y.) County of Cattaraugus (W.D.N.Y.) County of Cayuga (N.D.N.Y.) County of Chautauqua (W.D.N.Y.) County of Chemung (W.D.N.Y.) County of Chemung (W.D.N.Y.) County of Chemung (N.D.N.Y.) County of Columbia (N.D.N.Y.) County of Cortland (N.D.N.Y.) County of Dutchess (S.D.N.Y.) County of Essex (N.D.N.Y.) County of Fulton (N.D.N.Y.)	03-cv- 10643- PBS	E.D.N.Y. N.D.N.Y. S.D.N.Y. W.D.N.Y.	 March 30, 2005 – County of Suffolk's Motion to Renew Its Second Motion to Compel the Production of Discovery From the Schering-Plough Corporation [Docket No. 1459] sub judice (Magistrate Judge Bowler) April 6, 2005 – Schering Plough's Opposition to County of Suffolk's Motion to Renew Its Second Motion to Compel the Production of Discovery [Docket No. 1470] March 3, 2006 – Individual Memoranda of Each Defendant in Support of Its Motion to Dismiss (1) the Consolidated Complaint of New York City and Plaintiff Counties Other than Nassau and (2) the Second Amended Complaint of Nassau County [Docket No. 2207] (individual memoranda filed by Sanofi-Synthelabo [Docket No. 2220], Wyeth, Sandoz Inc. [Docket No. 2202], Ben Venue Labs., Inc. [Docket No. 2200])

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
County of Genesee (W.D.N.Y.) County of Herkimer (N.D.N.Y.) County of Jefferson (N.D.N.Y.) County of Lewis (N.D.N.Y.) County of Madison (N.D.N.Y.) County of Monroe (W.D.N.Y.) County of Nassau (E.D.N.Y.) County of Niagara (W.D.N.Y.) County of Oneida (N.D.N.Y.) County of Oneida (N.D.N.Y.) County of Ontario (W.D.N.Y.) County of Orleans (W.D.N.Y.) County of Putnam (S.D.N.Y.) County of Rensselaer (N.D.N.Y.) County of Saratoga (N.D.N.Y.) County of St. Lawrence (N.D.N.Y.) County of Seneca (W.D.N.Y.) County of Steuben (W.D.N.Y.) County of Steuben (W.D.N.Y.) County of Tompkins (N.D.N.Y.) County of Ulster (N.D.N.Y.) County of Warren (N.D.N.Y.) County of Warren (N.D.N.Y.) County of Washington (N.D.N.Y.) County of Wayne (W.D.N.Y.) County of Wyoming (W.D.N.Y.) County of Yates (W.D.N.Y.)			Motion to Dismiss (1) the Consolidated Complaint of New York City and Plaintiff Counties Other than Nassau and (2) the Second Amended Complaint of Nassau County [Docket No. 2542] (individual memoranda filed by Aventis Pharmaceuticals [Docket No. 2544], Wyeth, Sanofi-Synthelabo [Docket No. 2550], Ben Venue Labs., Inc. [Docket No. 2540], Sandoz Inc. [Docket No. 2557]) June 2, 2006 – Plaintiffs' Joint Sur-Reply in Further Opposition to Defendants' Individual Motions to Dismiss [Docket No. 2638] September 18, 2006 – Notice of Filing of Brief of the Attorney General of the State of New York as Amicus Curiae [Docket No. 3114] October 12, 2006 – Defendants' Response to the Brief of the Attorney General of the State of New York as Amicus Curiae and to Plaintiffs' Post-Oral Argument Submissions [Docket No. 3210] February 9, 2007 – Notice of Supplemental Authority in Further Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Consolidated Complaint of New York City and New York Counties Other than Nassau [Docket No. 3758] July 11, 2007 – Plaintiffs' Omnibus Memorandum in Opposition to the Individual Defendant's Renewed Motions to Dismiss [Docket No. 4453] July 24, 2007 – Plaintiffs' Omnibus Sur-Reply to the Individual Defendant's Renewed Motions to Dismiss [Docket No. 4499] July 24, 2007 – Order of Reference for Alternative Dispute Resolution [Docket No. 4508] March 4, 2008 – New York State Department of Health, Mark Richard Butt, Mary Alice Brankman, Michael A. Falzano and Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion to Quash Subpoenas Issued by Defendants to the New York State Department of Health and/or its Current and Former Employees [Docket No. 5120] March 4, 2008 – Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Compel and in Support of Movants' Cross Motion to Quash Subpoenas Issued by Defendants to the New York State Department of Health and/or its Current and Former Employees [Docket No. 5154] March 25, 2008 – Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Reply Memorandum in Truther Support of Plaintiffs' Cross Motion to Quash

NUMBER 30	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Consolidated County Case (Civil Action No. 01-CV-12257-PBS): City of New York, et al. (S.D.N.Y.) (continued)		to Quash all Subpoenas Issued by Defendants to the New York State Department of Health and/or Its Current and Former Employees [Docket No. 5199] • August 22, 2008 – Stipulated Extension of Time for the Filing of Amgen Inc.'s Answer to Plaintiffs' Revised First Amended Consolidated Complaint [Docket No. 5515] • November 21, 2008 – Proposed Order of Voluntary Dismissal (Aventis) [Docket No. 5699] • November 24, 2008 – Defendant SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline's ("GSK's") Motion for Partial Summary Judgment in the New York County Cases [Docket No. 5706] • November 24, 2008 – Memorandum in Support of Defendant SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline's ("GSK's") Motion for Partial Summary Judgment in the New York County Cases [Docket No. 5707], Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Defendant SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline's ("GSK's") Motion for Partial Summary Judgment in the New York County Cases [Docket No. 5708] • January 5, 2009 – Stipulation for Scheduling with Respect to GlaxoSmithKline's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 5820] • February 11, 2009 – Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Opposition to SmithKline Beecham Corporation, d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline's (GSK's) Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 5892] • February 12, 2009 – Plaintiffs' Response to Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Defendant SmithKline Beecham Corporation, d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline's (GSK's) Motion for Summary Judgment in the New York County Cases [Docket No. 5894], Affidavit of Harris L. Devor [Docket No. 5893] • March 4, 2009 – Reply Brief of Defendant SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline's ("GSK's") in Support of Its Motion for Partial Summary Judgment in the New York County Cases [Docket No. 5961]; Affidavit of Harris L. Devor [Docket No. 5962] • June 16, 2009 – Plaintiffs' Sur-Reply Memorandum of Law in Opposition to SmithKline Beecham Corporation, d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline's ("GSK's") Motion for Partial Summary

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Consolidated County Case (Civil Action No. 01-CV-12257-PBS): City of New York, et al. (S.D.N.Y.) (continued)			 February 27, 2009 – Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice (Serono) [Docket No. 5926] May 15, 2009 – Defendants' Joint Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "FUL Fraud" Claims [Docket No. 6052] May 15, 2009 – Defendants' Memorandum in Support of Their Joint Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "FUL Fraud" Claims [Docket No. 6053, 6084]; Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts Supporting Defendants' Joint Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "FUL Fraud" Claims [Docket No. 6054]; Declaration of Kim B. Nemirow Transmitting Deposition Testimony and Hearing Transcripts Relied Upon in Support of Defendants' Joint Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "FUL Fraud" Claims [Docket No. 6055]; Affidavit of Dr. Sumanth Addanki [Docket No. 6056]; Affidavit of Cesar A. Perales [Docket No. 6055] June 15, 2009 – Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendants' Joint Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "FUL Fraud" Claims and in Further Support of Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts Supporting Defendants' Joint Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "FUL Fraud" Claims [Docket No. 6147], Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Joint Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "FUL Fraud" Claims [Docket No. 6144] June 30, 2009 – FUL Defendants' Joint Reply in Further Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "Wotion for Partial Summary Judgment (Docket No. 6218], Affidavit of Dr. Sumanth Addanki [Docket No. 6219] May 15, 2009 – Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Issues Relating to the Federal Upper Limit and Under New York Social Services Law § 145-B [Docket No. 6058] May 15, 2009 – Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Issues Relating to the Federal Upper Limit and Under New York Social Services Law § 145-B [Docket No. 6061]; Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion fo

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Consolidated County Case (Civil Action No. 01-CV-12257-PBS): City of New York, et al. (S.D.N.Y.) (continued)			Facts as to Barr Laboratories, Inc. [Docket No. 6062]; as to Dey L.P. and Dey, Inc. [Docket No. 6063]; as to Eithex Corporation [Docket No. 6065]; as to Ivax Corporation and Ivax Pharmaceuticals. Inc. [Docket No. 6065]; as to Mylan Laboratories, Inc., Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., and UDL Laboratories, Inc. [Docket No. 6066]; as to Par Pharmaceutical Co. [Docket No. 6068]; as to Boehringer Ingelheim Roxane, Inc. [Docket No. 6069]; as to Sandoz, Inc. [Docket No. 6070]; as to Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. [Docket No. 6071]; as to Schering Corporation, Schering-Plough Corporation and Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation [Docket No. 6072]; as to Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Watson Pharma, Inc. [Docket No. 6073]; as to Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc. [Docket No. 6074] • June 15, 2009 – Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Local Rule 56.1 Statement of "Undisputed" Facts Applicable to All Defendants and Statement of Additional Undisputed Material Facts [Docket No. 6117] and Declaration of Kim B. Nemirow in Support of Defendants' Joint Response; Response of Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Watson Pharma, Inc. [Docket No. 6112] and Declaration of Sara Jane Shanahan in Support [Docket No. 6113]; Response of Purepac Pharmaceutical Co. [Docket No. 6115]; Response of Schering Corporation, Schering-Plough Corporation and Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation [Docket No. 6120] and Declaration of Kim B. Nemirow in Support [Docket No. 6121]; Response of Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc. [Docket No. 6122] and Declaration of Kelly Davidson in Support [Docket No. 6130]; Response of Ethex Corporation [Docket No. 6124]; Response of Sandoz Inc. [Docket No. 6122] and Declaration of Kelly Davidson in Support [Docket No. 6130]; Response of Mylan Inc.,

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Consolidated County Case (Civil Action No. 01-CV-12257-PBS): City of New York, et al. (S.D.N.Y.) (continued)			Inc. [Docket No. 6215] June 30, 2009 – Plaintiffs' Reply Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts Related to FULs and Applicable to the FUL Thirteen and Response to Additional Undisputed Material Facts [Docket No. 6224]; Plaintiffs' Reply Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts as to Sandoz, Inc. [Docket No. 6225]; as to Mylan Laboratories Inc., Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., and UDL Laboratories Inc. [Docket No. 6226]; as to Purepac Pharmaceuticals Inc., and UDL Laboratories Inc. (Docket No. 6226]; as to Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc. [Docket No. 6228]; as to Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Watson Pharma Inc. [Docket No. 6229]; as to Par Pharmaceutical Companies Inc. and Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. [Docket No. 6230]; as to Bart Laboratories, Inc. [Docket No. 6231]; as to Dey L.P. and Dey, Inc. [Docket No. 6232]; as to Ethex Corporation [Docket No. 6233]; as to Schering Corporation, Schering-Plough Corporation and Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation [Docket No. 6234]; as to Teva Pharmaceuticals Inc. [Docket No. 6235]; as to Ivax Corporation and Ivax Pharmaceuticals Inc. [Docket No. 6237] July 3, 2009 – Plaintiffs' Reply Local Rule 56.1 Statement of Undisputed Material Facts as to Boehringer Ingelheim Roxane, Inc. [Docket No. 6251] June 15, 2009 – FUL Defendants' Joint Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Docket No. 6116, 6161], Affidavit of Dr. Sumanth Addanki [Docket No. 6119] June 15, 2009 – Plaintiffs' "FUL Fraud" Claims and in Further Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Inc. Scala Jan. Plaintiffs Support of Their Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Issues Relating to the Federal Upper Limit and Under New York Social Services Law § 145-B [Docket No. 6223], Reply Affidavit of Joanne M. Cicala in Support of Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Issues Relating to the F

Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6470 Filed 09/01/09 Page 31 of 40

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Consolidated County Case (Civil Action No. 01-CV-12257-PBS): City of New York, et al. (S.D.N.Y.) (continued)			 June 30, 2009 – Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendants' Memorandum to Exclude the Proffered Expert Report and Testimony of Harris Devor, C.P.A. [Docket No. 6220], Declaration of Harris L. Devor in Opposition to Defendants' Joint Motion to Exclude Proffered Expert Report and Testimony [Docket No. 6221], Affidavit of Kathryn B. Allen in Opposition to Defendants' Joint Motion to Exclude the Proffered Expert Report and Testimony of Harris Devor, C.P.A. [Docket No. 6222] July 23, 2009 – Defendants' Reply Memorandum in Support of Their Joint Motion to Exclude the Proffered Expert Report and Testimony of Harris Devor, C.P.A. [Docket No. 6281] July 14, 2009 – [Corrected] Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion to Quash Defendant Schering-Plough Corporation's, Schering Corporation's and Warrick Pharmaceutical Corporation's Cross-Notice of Continue Videotaped Deposition of Lynn Donovan [Docket No. 6262] July 16, 2009 – Opposition to Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion to Quash Defendants Schering-Plough Corporation's, Schering Corporation's, and Warrick Pharmaceutical Corporation's Cross-Notice of Continued Videotaped Deposition of Lynn Donovan [Docket No. 6269]

MDL 1456 STATUS CHART – PRIVATE CLASS CASES

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTIO N	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action	Master File 01-CV- 12257-PBS	D. Mass. pursuant to JPML Order	 May 3, 2004 - Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period from Defendants Abbott Laboratories, AstraZeneca, Schering Plough, Sicor and Together Rx Defendants sub judice (Magistrate Judge Bowler) [Docket No. 826] May 17, 2004 - Certain Defendants' Notice of Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel the Production of Certain Documents and Consent Motion for an Extension of Time [Docket No. 838] May 26, 2004 - Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period Directed at Defendant Schering-Plough (Note: withdrawal applies only to Schering-Plough) [Docket No. 851] May 27, 2004 - Consent Motion for an Extension of Time to Oppose the Motion to Compel [Docket No. 855] May 27, 2004 - Opposition of the Together Rx Defendants to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel the Production of Documents [Docket No. 854] May 28, 2004 - Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period Directed at Defendant Abbott Laboratories (Note: withdrawal applies only to Abbott Laboratories) [Docket No. 857] June 8, 2004 - Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period Directed at Defendant AstraZeneca. (Note: withdrawal applies only to AstraZeneca) [Docket No. 863] June 9, 2004 - Plaintiffs' Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period from the Together Rx Defendants [Docket No. 864] June 22, 2004 - Order granting Plaintiffs' Motion to Withdraw Motion to Compel Directed at Abbott Laboratories [Electronic Order] Awaiting scheduling of hearing or ruling by Court as to Together Rx Defendants February 23, 2005 - Third Party Schaller Anderson Inc.'s Objection and Motion to Quash Pl

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTIO N	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			 November 9, 2005 – Plaintiffs' Motion for Order Exempting Defendant Abbott Laboratories from Any Order Refusing to Extend Deadline for Track Two Discovery [Docket No. 1875] November 23, 2005 – Defendant Abbott Laboratories' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Order Exempting Defendant Abbott Laboratories from Any Order Declining to Extend Deadline for Track Two Discovery [Docket No. 1904] December 1, 2005 – Plaintiffs' Letter to Magistrate Judge Bowler Requesting Postponement of Hearing until Track Two Discovery Issues Resolved November 28, 2005 – Plaintiffs' Motion for Clarification of Case Management Order #16 [Docket No. 1912] November 30, 2005 – Certain Track II Defendants' Request for Expedited Hearing [Docket No. 1923] November 30, 2005 – Response of Defendant Watson Pharma, Inc. to Plaintiffs' "Motion for Clarification of Case Management Order #16" [Docket No. 1924] December 1, 2005 – Order re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Clarification of Case Management Order #16 [Docket No. 1925] December 9, 2005 – Track II Defendants' Submission Regarding Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1950] December 9, 2005 – Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s Response to Court Order Regarding Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1951] December 9, 2005 – Defendants Baxter Healthcare Corporation and Baxter International Inc.'s Memorandum Regarding Track II Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1952] December 15, 2005 – Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Support of Their Proposed Track II Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1953] December 15, 2005 – Plaintiffs' Reply to Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s Response to Court Order Regarding Discovery Schedule [Docket No. 1964] December 16, 2005 – Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s Motion for Leave to File Reply Memorandum in Support of Its
			 February 22, 2006 – Plaintiffs' Assented-to Motion for Leave to Reply to Dey, Inc.'s and Abbott Laboratories' Objections to the Order of Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler Issued on February 4, 2006 to File Such Reply Until March 8, 2006 [Docket No. 2148] March 8, 2006 – Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Plaintiffs' Opposition to Dey, Inc.'s and Abbott Laboratories' Objections to Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler's Order Denying Further Discovery of Massachusetts Health Plans [Docket No. 2237]

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTIO N	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			 March 8, 2006 – Plaintiffs' Opposition to Dey, Inc.'s and Abbott Laboratories' Objections to Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler's Order Denying Further Discovery of Massachusetts Health Plans [Docket No. 2238]
			 February 21, 2006 – United Healthcare, Inc. and United HealthCare Insurance Co.'s Limited Objections to Magistrate Judge's Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Compel [Docket No. 2144] March 10, 2006 – Defendants' Response to Third Party United Healthcare, Inc. and United HealthCare Insurance Company's Objections to Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler's Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Compel [Docket No. 2243]
			 May 8, 2006 – Plaintiffs' Motion to Certify Claims with Respect to Track 2 Defendants [Docket No. 2522]
			 May 16, 2006 – Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Join Harold Bean as a Plaintiff and a Proposed Class Representative [Docket No. 2584] May 19, 2006 – Track Two Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Join Harold Bean as a Plaintiff and Class Representative [Docket No. 2590] May 24, 2006 – Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corp.'s Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Join Harold Bean as a Plaintiff and Class Representative [Docket No. 2599] May 16, 2006 – Track Two Defendants' Motion to Strike Harold Bean as a Proposed Class Representative [Docket No. 2582] May 26, 2006 – Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Motion to Strike Harold Bean as a Proposed Class Representative [Docket No. 2608] June 15, 2006 – Defendants' Individual Memoranda of Law in Opposition to Track 2 Class Certification (individual memorandum filed by each of the Track 2 Defendants) (Redacted versions filed in last week of June/first week of July 2006) [Docket No. 2687] July 19, 2006 – Plaintiffs' Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Certify Claims With Respect to Track 2 Defendants [Docket No. 2889]
			 August 4, 2006 – Track Two Proposal Concerning the Appointment of a Neutral Expert to Advise the Court on Track Two Class Certification [Docket No. 2957] August 7, 2006 – Plaintiffs' Response to Track Two Proposal Concerning the Appointment of a Neutral Expert to Advise the Court on Track Two Class Certification [Docket No. 2964]
			 August 25, 2006 – Track Two Defendants' Memorandum Regarding Harold Bean, in Further Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 3018] August 25, 2006 – Track Two Defendants' Memorandum in Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 3020]

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET	ORIGINAL JURISDICTIO	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)	NO.	N	 September 5, 2006 – Plaintiff's Response to Track 2 Defendants' Memorandum Regarding Harold Bean [Notice of Filing Under Seal - Docket No. 3063], Declaration of Donald E. Haviland [Docket No. 3065] September 6, 2006 – Track Two Defendants' Notice of Supplemental Evidence Relevant to Class Certification [Docket No. 3071] September 11, 2006 – Track Two Defendants' Supplemental Filing Regarding the Track Two Defendants' Motion for Leave to File the Rebuttal Declaration of Steven J. Young in Support of Track 2 Defendants' Opposition to Class Certification or, in the Alternative, Motion to Strike the Hartman Track 2 Dyckman Rebuttal, Hartman Track 2 Young Rebuttal and the Rosenthal Rebuttal) [Notice of Filing Under Seal - Docket No. 3093] September 11, 2006 – Track Two Defendants' Notice of Supplemental Authority Relevant to Class Certification [Docket No. 3094] October 16, 2006 – Class Plaintiffs' Submission of the "Generics Chart" Pursuant to the Oral Request of the Court During the Class Certification Hearing in Connection with Track 2 (corrected October 17, 2006) [Docket No. 3220; Corrected Docket No. 3223] December 21, 2006 – Track Two Defendants' Motion to Strike the "Generics Chart" and Proposed Track Two Class Certification Order Submitted by Plaintiffs' in Support of Their Motion for Class Certification [Docket No. 3499] July 25, 2007 – Defendant Amgen Inc.'s Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 4506] August 8, 2007 – Class Plaintiffs' Response to Amgen and Watson's Supplemental Opposition to Class Certification [Docket No. 4609] August 23, 2007 – Memorandum of Plaintiffs and Class 1 Representatives Harold Carter, Rev. David Aaronson, and Harold Bean in Response to Defendant Amgen, Inc.'s Supplemental Memorandum in Opposition to Class Certification Regarding State Law Issues [Docket No. 4738] September 21, 2007 – Individual Memorandum in Suppor

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTIO N	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			 June 22, 2006 − Plaintiffs' Motion to Quash May 18, 2006 Amended Notice of Deposition of Harvey Weintraub Filed by Defendant Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation [Docket No. 2755] June 22, 2006 − Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Under Seal Plaintiffs' Memorandum and Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support of Motion to Quash May 18, 2006 Amended Notice of Deposition of Harvey Weintraub Filed by Defendant Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation [Docket No. 2754] July 6, 2006 − Warrick's Memorandum in Opposition to Certain Plaintiffs' Motion to Quash May 18, 2006 Amended Notice of Deposition of Harvey Weintraub and in Support of Cross Motion for Leave to Take Deposition of Harvey Weintraub for Purposes of Preservation of Testimony [Docket No. 2840] July 20, 2006 − Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation's Cross-Motion for Leave to Take the Deposition of Harvey Weintraub for Purposes of Preservation of Testimony [Docket No. 2898] August 3, 2006 − Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation's Reply Memorandum in Support of Its Cross Motion for Leave to Take the Deposition of Harvey Weintraub for Purposes of Preservation of Testimony [Docket No. 2950-2] November 2, 2006 − Defendant Immunex Corporation's Objections to October 23, 2006 Order by Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler Regarding Immunex's Motion for Protective Order Regarding May 17, 2006, Deposition Notice [Docket No. 3303] November 9, 2006 − Plaintiffs' Memorandum In Response to Immunex Corporation's Objections to October 23, 2006 Order by Chief Magistrate Judge Bowler Denying Immunex's Motion for Protective Order [Notice of Filing Under Seal − Docket No. 3331] November 6, 2006 − Plaintiffs' Objections to the October 23, 2006 Order by Chief Magistrate Bowler Re: Amgen Inc.'s Motion for Protective Order Relating to Plaintiffs' Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice Regarding Sales and Marketing [Docket No. 3323]

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTIO N	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			2007 Order by Magistrate Judge Bowler Granting Defendant Abbott Laboratories' Motion for Protective Order and Motion to Quash Plaintiffs' Third Party Subpoenas [Docket No. 3915]
			 March 22, 2007 – Declaration of G. Raymond Pironti, Jr. Regarding Time Expended for Preparing Direct Testimony via Declaration and Traveling from Tampa, Florida to Boston Pursuant to Subpoena to Appear for Trial Testimony and Request for Reimbursement [Docket No. 3945]
			 July 13, 2007 – Notice of Submission to Court of Declaration and Request for Determination of Proprietary Filing Under Seal [Docket No. 4460] July 27, 2007 – Class Counsel's Response to the Declaration of Donald E. Haviland, Jr. In Response to Court Directive Concerning AstraZeneca Settlement [Docket No. 4510] August 24, 2007 – Declaration of Donald E. Haviland, Jr., in Response to Court Directive Concerning the AstraZeneca Settlement [Docket No. 4660]
			 August 2, 2007 – Track Two Defendants' Proposed "Road Map" for the Next Phase of Case Preparation, Mediation and Trial [Docket No. 4589] August 1, 2007 – BMS' Brief on Pre-Judgment Interest [Docket No. 4534] August 1, 2007 – Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation's Memorandum Regarding Class 2 Damages [Docket No. 4537]
			 August 2, 2007 – Class Plaintiffs' Proposed "Road Map" to Govern Track 2 Proceedings [Docket No. 4590] August 6, 2007 – Track 2 Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Proposed "Road Map" for Track 2 Proceedings [Docket No. 4599] August 6, 2007 – Watson's Opposition to Class Plaintiffs' Proposed "Road Map" [Docket No. 4601]
			 August 30, 2007 – Plaintiffs' Supplemental Submission Regarding State Consumer Protection Act Pre-Suit Demand Requirements [Docket No. 4671]
			 September 7, 2007 – Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corporation's Post-Damages Hearing Submission Concerning Liability and Declaratory Relief [Docket No. 4693]
			 October 23, 2008 – Plaintiffs' Offer of Proof Regarding Standing of Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corporation Retiree Medical Benefits Trust [Docket No. 5641] December 16, 2008 – Plaintiffs' Amended Offer of Proof Regarding Standing of Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corporation Retiree Medical Benefits Trust [Docket No. 5781]

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTIO N	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			 November 20, 2008 − [Proposed] Consolidated Order Re: Motion for Nationwide Certification Relating to AstraZeneca and the BMS Group [Docket No. 5691] November 24, 2008 − Objection by AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP to the Proposed Order Submitted by Plaintiffs With Respect to the Certification Under Rule 23 of Multistate Cases [Docket No. 5705] November 25, 2008 − Objection by Bristol-Myers Squibb Company to the Proposed Order Submitted by Plaintiffs With Respect to the Certification Under Rule 23 of Multistate Cases [Docket No. 5709] January 26, 2009 − Order to Stay Entry of Multistate Class Certification Order Pending Appeal of Massachusetts Class Judgment [Electronic Order] February 23, 2009 − Revised Objection to Class Action Settlement and Notice of Intent to Appear of Class Member Patricia Weatherly (re Track Two Settlement) [Docket No. 5921] March 2, 2009 − Class Counsel Motion for Entry of an Order Granting Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 5934] [Proposed] Final Order and Judgment Granting Final Approval of the Track Two Class Action Settlements, Approving Proposed Allocation of Settlement Funds, and Approving Class Counsel Application of Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses and Compensation for Class Representatives [Docket No. 5934-2] March 2, 2009 − Class Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 5935]; Declaration of Katherine Kinsella Regarding Class Notice in the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 5936]; Declaration of Eric Miller Regarding Mailing of Notice to Class members [Docket No. 5937] March 2, 2009 − Class Counsel's Memorandum of Law in Support of Petition for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses and Compensation to the Class Representatives in Association with the Track 2 Settlement [Docket No. 5938]; Declarat

	DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTIO N	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			 [Docket No. 5971] April 9, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs' Motion for Overlength Brief in Which to Respond to All Objectors to Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6002] April 9, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs' Response to Objections to Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6003], Declaration of Steve W. Berman in Support of Class Plaintiffs' Response to Objections to Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6004], Declaration of Thomas M. Sobol in Support of Class Plaintiffs' Response to Objections to Final Approval to the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6005], Declaration of Jeffrey S. Goldenberg [Docket No. 6006], Declaration of Alex Sugerman-Brozanm, Co-Consumer Allocation Counsel, in Support of Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6007], Declaration of Wells Wilkinson in Support of Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6008], Declaration of Eric P. Lachance Regarding Consumer Class Members [Docket No. 6009] April 21, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing in Support of Their Response to Objections to Final Approval of the Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6015] April 23, 2009 – Reply of Objectors John Pentz, Connie Pentz and Corinna Connick to Class Plaintiffs' Response to Objections to Final Approval of Track Two Settlement [Docket No. 6021], Affidavit of Edward Cochran [Docket No. 6021-2] April 24, 2009 – Affidavit of Donald E. Haviland, Jr., in Support of Evidentiary Submission for Track Two Fairness Hearing [Docket No. 6026]
			 March 27, 2009 - Class Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order with Regard to Muriel Tonacchio Deposition [Docket No. 5979] March 27, 2009 - Class Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Support of Their Motion for Protective Order with Regard to Muriel Tonacchio Deposition [Docket No. 5980]; Declaration of Jennifer Fountain Connolly [Docket No. 5981] April 23, 2009 - Named Consumer Plaintiffs' Opposition to Class Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order with Regard to Muriel Tonacchio Deposition [Docket No. 6019], Named Consumer Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Class Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order with Regard to Muriel Tonacchio Deposition [Docket No. 6019] March 27, 2009 - Motion by Prescription Access Litigation, Community Catalyst and Health Care for All to Quash Subpoenas Duces Tecum Served by Don Haviland [Docket No. 5982] March 27, 2009 - Memorandum of Prescription Access Litigation, Community Catalyst and Health Care for All in Support of Their Motion to Quash Subpoenas Duces Tecum Served by Don Haviland [Docket No. 5983]; Declaration of Jennifer Fountain Connolly [Docket No. 5984] April 23, 2009 - Named Consumer Plaintiffs' Response to Motion by Prescription Access

Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 6470 Filed 09/01/09 Page 40 of 40

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTIO N	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action			[Docket No. 6020], Named Consumer Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Opposition to Motion by
(continued)			Prescription Access Litigation, Community Catalyst and Health Care For All to Quash
			Subpoenas Duces Tecum [Docket No. 6020]
			 June 25, 2009 – Class Plaintiffs' Notice of Filing Decision of MDL Mediator Regarding Disposition of Unclaimed Consumer Funds in the GSK Settlement [Docket No. 6171] August 10, 2009 – Notice of Filing Plan of Allocation of Unclaimed Consumer Funds in the GSK Settlement on Behalf of Certain Participating States [Docket No. 6365]